

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,083	08/31/2001	Steven M. Lefkowitz	10010381-1	1180
75	90 09/09/2002			
Gordon Stewart			EXAMINER	
Agilent Technologies			TRAN, MY CHAU T	
Legal Dept., DI	.429		••••,	
P.O. Box 7599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			1641	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2002	2 Y

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	~	09/944,083	LEFKOWITZ ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	-	My-Chau T Tran	1641			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
Daried for	Denly					
THE M Extensi after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing in patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) M	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. AONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14.	<u>January 2002</u> .				
2a)□	This action is EINA ! 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.				
3)□	and the state of the sendition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4) <u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	— the ship start to					
8) 🔀	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	butha Evaminer			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be need in a	I disapproved by the Examiner.			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	Li disapprovos sy sas			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
L	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	<u> Даннног.</u>				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ian priority under 35 11 !	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ente have heen receiver	1.			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	d in Application No.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	heen received in this National Stage			
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a light of the papplication from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a light of the paper.	list of the certified copie	s not received.			
1410	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
	 a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom 	provisional application	nas peen received.			
Attachme						
1) No	utice of References Cited (PTO-892) utice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) commation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Note	5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) btice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:			

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6 and 36-43, drawn to a method of covalently bonding a ligand to a substrate, classified in class 436, subclass 518.
 - II. Claims 7-15, 25, and 44-47, drawn to a method of producing an array of two different polymer ligands, classified in class 435, subclass 287.7.
 - III. Claims 16-24 and 26, drawn to a method of producing an array of two different nucleic acids, classified in class 436, subclass 55.
 - IV. Claims 27-29, drawn to a method of detecting the presence of an analyte in a sample, classified in class 436, subclass 536.
 - V. Claims 30-32, drawn to a hybridization assay, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - VI. Claims 33-35, drawn to a kit for use in hybridization assay, classified in class 422, subclass 61.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions Group I, II, III, IV and V are unrelated and independent inventions.

Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the different inventions as claimed have different method steps and modes of operation. The method step of contacting the surface with the ligand to covalently bond the ligand to the substrate of Group I is not required

Art Unit: 1641

by the claims of Group II-V. The method step of contacting the surface with two different polymer ligands to covalently bond the polymer ligands to the substrate and produce an array of Group II is not required by the claims of Group I and III-V. The method step of depositing each of the two different nucleic acids onto different regions of the surface of Group III is not required by the claims of Group I-II and IV-V. The method step of detecting any binding complexes on the surface and obtaining binding complex data of Group IV is not required by the claims of Group I-III and V. The method step of detecting a hybridization pattern of Group V is not required by the claims of Group I-IV.

- Inventions of Group VI and Group I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. 3. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the method of protein synthesis.
- Inventions Group VI and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. 4. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the method of protein synthesis.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/944,083

Art Unit: 1641

- 5. Inventions Group VI and Group III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the method of protein synthesis.
- 6. Inventions Group VI and Group IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the method of protein synthesis.
- 7. Inventions Group VI and Group V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the method of Group IV.

Art Unit: 1641

- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required are not co-extensive thus requiring a burdensome search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Additionally, different patentability considerations are involved for each group. For example, a patentability determination for Group III would involve a determination of the patentability of the combination of a composition comprised of an olefin functional group and a nucleic acid (independent of its use) while a patentability determination for Group IV would involve a consideration of the patentability of determining the presence of an analyte in a sample using the binding complex data. These considerations are very different in nature.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1641

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to My-Chau T. Tran whose telephone number is 703-305-6999. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on 703-305-3399. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

mct

September 1, 2002

BAO-THUYL. NGUYEN

PRIMARY EXAMINE